UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

THEOFILOS MIHALATOS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

BEAVER BAKE CORP. d/b/a TRADERS EXPRESS DELI, et al.,

Defendants.

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 6/20/2025

24-CV-6131 (BCM)

**ORDER** 

## BARBARA MOSES, United States Magistrate Judge.

The parties having settled their dispute, including claims brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA):

It is hereby **ORDERED** that all deadlines previously set in this action are vacated.

It is further **ORDERED** that (unless the parties intend to resolve this action via a Rule 68 offer of judgment), they shall submit, no later than **July 7, 2025**: (a) a joint letter demonstrating that their settlement is fair and reasonable and should be approved in light of the factors enumerated in *Wolinsky v. Scholastic Inc.*, 900 F. Supp. 2d 332, 335-36 (S.D.N.Y. 2012); (b) a copy of their written settlement agreement, executed by all parties, which will be placed on the public docket, *see Wolinsky*, 900 F. Supp. 2d at 335; and (c) counsel's contingency fee agreement (if any) and time and expense records, to the extent necessary to support any award of attorneys' fees and costs.

The parties are cautioned that "it would be the very rare case, if any, where confidentiality terms in a settlement agreement would be appropriate in resolving a wage-and-hour lawsuit given the policy concerns underlying the FLSA." *Souza v. 65 St. Marks Bistro*, 2015 WL 7271747, at \*4 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 6, 2015). This caution extends to so-called non-disparagement clauses, if such clauses prevent a plaintiff from making truthful statements concerning his employment, the lawsuit underlying the proposed settlement, or the settlement itself. *See Weng v. T&W Rest., Inc.*, 2016

WL 3566849, at \*4 (S.D.N.Y. June 22, 2016) (Moses, M.J.) (non-disparagement clause "must

include a carve-out for truthful statements about [a plaintiff's] experience in litigating [his] case")

(internal quotation marks omitted; modifications in original).

The parties are further cautioned that courts in this district ordinarily refuse to approve

FLSA settlements that include one-way or overbroad general releases. See, e.g., Lopez v. Poko-St.

Ann L.P., 2016 WL 1319088, at \*2 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 4, 2016) (Moses, M.J.); Pinguil v. We Are All

Frank, Inc., 2018 WL 2538218 (S.D.N.Y. May 21, 2018) (Moses, M.J.).

The parties are further cautioned that this Court's fairness review "extends to the

reasonableness of attorneys' fees and costs." Fisher v. SD Protection, Inc., 948 F. 3d 593, 606 (2d

Cir. 2020). Any proposed award of fees and costs must be memorialized in the written settlement

agreement, personally (not electronically) signed by the parties, and supported by copies of

counsel's contingency fee agreement (if any) and time and expense records, properly authenticated.

Id. at 600. In addition, the Court expects a detailed explanation of the basis for the award. "[T]he

most critical factor in determining the reasonableness of a fee award is the degree of success

obtained." Id. at 606 (quoting Farrar v. Hobby, 506 U.S. 103, 114 (1992)) (internal quotation

marks omitted).

Dated: New York, New York

June 20, 2025

SO ORDERED.

**BARBARA MOSES** 

**United States Magistrate Judge** 

2